





Introduction	Base Case FEA Model M	lodel Analysis	Design Optimization	Design Tunnel Variants	Conclusion
Ref	erence proje	ct: Sh	arq Cros	sing	
• 2x2 • 2x2 • 2x3	tunnel northern con tunnel middle conne tunnel southern con	nection ection inection			
• Trar • Req	nsition zone 2x4 + sa uired cross sectional	afety lane span 27	s on both side m	S	
	2X3	Trai	nsition Zone	2x4	
<b>T</b> UDelft	Royal HaskoningDHV			Challenge the future 4	ł



































Introduction	Base Case	FEA Model	Model Analysis	Design Optimizatio	n Design Tunnel Variants	Conclusion
Des	sign tu varia	annel ant 1	varian	ts: Stee	l shell tun:	nel
• Max • No	c reinforce concrete	ement ap surface e	plied exposed to v	vater		
• Tra	nsverse s	pan of 27	7 m feasible	Stirrup	-Concrete ( ← Tensile rei ← Outer stee	over nforcement d plate
<b>T</b> UDelft		oyal askoningDHV			Challenge the future 2.	2



Introduction	Base Case	FEA Model	Model Analysis	Design Optimization	Design Tunnel Variants	Conclusion
Cos	st con	ipariso	n of ti	unnel va	riants	
		1				
Brock		Stool Shall Tu	unnol St	aal Shall Tunnal	SCS Sandwich	
				eel Shen Thinkel		
Fiest	Funnel	variant 1		variant 2	Tunnel	
No	Funnel ot feasible	variant 1 315 000 euro pe	er m <sup>1</sup> 3	variant 2 51 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	Tunnel 421 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Tunnel	variant 1 315 000 euro pe	er m <sup>1</sup> 3!	variant 2 51 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	Tunnel 421 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	
No	Tunnel	variant 1 315 000 euro pe	er m <sup>1</sup> 3!	variant 2 51 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	Tunnel 421 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	
Nd	ressed K.C. Funnel	variant 1 315 000 euro po	er m <sup>1</sup> 3	variant 2 51 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	421 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	
	runnel pt feasible	variant 1 315 000 euro pe	er m <sup>1</sup> 3	variant 2 51 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	Tunnel 421 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	
No	runnel it feasible	variant 1 315 000 euro pr	er m <sup>1</sup> 3:	variant 2 51 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	Tunnel       421 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup> Prices, february 2	2016
	runnel pt feasible	variant 1 315 000 euro pr 315 000 euro pr astoningDHV	er m <sup>1</sup> 3	variant 2 51 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup>	Tunnel         421 000 euro per m <sup>1</sup> Prices, february a         Challenge the future       24	2016

	Introduction	Base Case	FEA Model	Model Analysis	Design Optimiza	ation Design Tunnel Variants	Conclusion
	Conclusion (1) <ul> <li>Is a SCS sandwich immersed tunnel the most ideal solution for a tunnel with a large span in the cross direction?</li> </ul>						
	• Span up to 18 / 19 m R.C. tunnel most ideal						
	<ul> <li>Span from 19 – 28 m, steel shell tunnel ideal</li> <li>more cost-efficient</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Span from 19 - 28 m, SCS tunnel also ideal</li> <li>higher rest capacity M and V</li> <li>more resistant against accidental loading (earthquake, explosion, sunken ship, erosion, sedimentation, more ductile)</li> </ul>						ship,
	• Span larger than 28 m, SCS tunnel only feasible solution						
	Length of span Reinforced Concrete Steel Shell Steel Concrete Steel Sandwich Tunnel Tunnel Tunnel						h
	< 19 (	m	Most ideal sol	ution N	lot ideal	Not ideal	
	19 - 28	3 m	Not feasibl	e Idea	al solution	Ideal solution	<u></u>
TUDelft         Royal HaskoningDHV         Not reasible         Only reasible         Solution						5	







Extra Slides
Steel – Concrete – Steel Sandwich Immersed Tunnels For Large Spans K.Z. Bekarlar 30-8-2016
Challenge the future         29

